



COURSE OF STUDY: L-39-L-40 – Social Science and Sociology – Social science L-39 (in common with 2nd L-40 Sociology)

ACADEMIC YEAR : 2023-2024
ACADEMIC SUBJECT: European Union Law

General information			
Year of the course	Second		
Academic calendar (starting and ending date)	I semester (18/07/2023-7/12/2023)		
Credits (CFU/ETCS):	6		
SSD	IUS-14		
Language	Italian		
Mode of attendance	Although the attendance is not compulsory, it is highly recommended		

Professor/ Lecturer	
Name and Surname	Angela Maria Romito
E-mail	angelamaria.romito@uniba.it
Telephone	080-5717775
Department and address	Department of Political Sciences
Virtual room	Microsoft Teams
Office Hours (and modalities:	Wednesday mornings from 9 a.m. preferably on appointment by e-mail.
e.g., by appointment, on line,	The day and hour may be subject to change as a result of the teaching
etc.)	timetable. Precise details will be published on the web page
	www.uniba.it/it/ricerca/dipartimenti/scienze-politiche/docenti

Work schedule			
Hours			
Total	Lectures	Hands-on (laboratory, workshops, working groups, seminars, field trips)	Out-of-class study hours/ Self-study hours
150	48		102
CFU/ETCS			
6			

Learning Objectives	The aim of the course is to engage students in the legal dynamics of the
	EU in order to cultivate the exercise of active citizenship. Having acquired
	the basic elements of the EU (institutional profiles), attention will be paid
	to the most politically debated topics, with particular reference to the
	free movement of persons (also in the perspective of the right to family
	reunification), the protection of migrant minors and social policy.
Course prerequisites	Public law

Teaching strategie	Frontal	teaching	with	the	support	of	media	tools	(slides,	videos,
	documer	ntaries).								
	In order t	to involve	stude	nts, v	veekly ser	nina	ar discus	sions v	vill be he	eld.
	If possib	le, collea	agues	from	other u	nive	ersities,	foreig	n lectur	ers and
	experts i	n the field	l will a	Iso be	e involved	l in t	he teac	hing ac	tivities.	
Expected learning outcomes			•		•	•	•			





in terms of	
Knowledge and	Students must be able to:
understanding on:	to understand the theoretical and applicative elements inherent to EU legal system.to understand the legal texts
	 to know the contents of the most significant Court rulings submitted to them know the fundamental notions concerning the main institutions of EU law;
Applying knowledge and	Students will be able to:
understanding on:	 deal with the issues involved in the application of EU rules analyse concrete cases brought to their attention by the lecturer; analyse and interpret legal texts and case law;
Soft skills	By the end of the course the student must be able to - investigate, on the basis of the acquired knowledge tools, the application outcomes of the EU rules, - autonomously elaborate a critical, legally supported evaluation in this regard, - develop original ideas on the basis of a systematic and critically aware understanding of the acquired knowledge
Syllabus	
Content knowledge	The course program is divided into two parts, conceptually autonomous but integrated from the point of view of contents: 1) Institutional Law: - The origins and evolution of European integration. The structure and fundamental principles of the European Union. The EU / State competences. - The institutional framework of the European Union: The European Council. Advice. The European Parliament. The European Commission. - The Court of Justice, the Court and judicial system protection - The legal sources of EU law: the rules of the Treaties and the Charter of Fundamental Rights. Regulations, Directives, Decisions. Recommendations, Opinions. The interinstitutional procedures for the formation of norms.
	 2) European citizenship. internal market: the free movement of persons also from the perspective of the right to family reunification. Analysis of the most relevant jurisprudence on the subject. The protection of migrant minors in the light of the New Pact on migration. Social rights: politics and social rights in the EU. Legal framework of reference, the European Pillar of Social Rights, analysis of the most relevant jurisprudence on the subject.
Texts and readings	Books: U. VILLANI, E. TRIGGIANI, Comprendere l'Unione europea, Bari, Cacucci 2022; CAPITOLI: I-XII, XIX-XX e XXIII





	TRATTATO DI LISBONA (on line; distribuited by EUROPEDIRECT PUGLIA - Via Suppa 9 - subject to availability of copies).
Notes, additional materials	Any additional teaching material will be made available via the lecturer page. Indispensable will be the consultation of legal reference texts such as the Treaties on the European Union
Repository	

Assessment		
Assessment methods	The method of verifying the expected learning outcomes is represented by the oral exam, which consists of a minimum of three questions on the teaching program. The vote is expressed in thirtieths. If the candidate does not demonstrate knowledge, at least sufficient, of basic topics in the teaching area, it will not be possible to proceed with the subsequent questions. At the teacher's discretion, an intermediate (optional) test may be scheduled, to be conducted either in the form of an interview or in written form (multiple choice and/or open-ended questions). Students who pass the mid-term test, whose grade will be taken into account in the final assessment, will complete the examination by taking the oral examination on the section of European Union law not covered by the "exemption" For those who do not pass the mid-term test, refuse the grade or do not take it, the oral examination will cover the entire syllabus.	
Assessment criteria		





criteria consists of a minimum of three questions on the teaching programm. The grade is expressed in thirtieths. If the candidate does in demonstrate at least sufficient knowledge of the basic topics of the teaching programme, subsequent questions cannot be taken. The criteria followed for the assessment of learning outcomes expresse in thirtieths are: Insufficient: 0-17 Lack of, incomplete and inadequate knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, candidates' use of non-legal vocabulary. Sufficient: 18-20 Sufficient knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus and overa adequacy of the legal vocabulary used by candidates. Fair: 21-23 Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, fair ability the argue and make connections between the various topics, use of appropriate legal vocabulary by the candidates. Good: 24-26 Good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, good capacity for in-depth analysis and criticism, through the use of an adequate leg vocabulary by the candidates. Distinguished: 27-28 More than good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, more than good capacity for in-depth study, for linking the various topics, for critique and mastery of legal vocabulary by the candidates. Excellent: 29-30 Very good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, very good ability to deepen, to link between the different topics, as well as criticism and mastery of the legal vocabulary by the candidates. Excellent: 30L Excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the sylla		
criteria consists of a minimum of three questions on the teaching programm. The grade is expressed in thirtieths. If the candidate does not demonstrate at least sufficient knowledge of the basic topics of the teaching programme, subsequent questions cannot be taken. The criteria followed for the assessment of learning outcomes expressed in thirtieths are: Insufficient: 0-17 Lack of, incomplete and inadequate knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, candidates' use of non-legal vocabulary. Sufficient: 18-20 Sufficient: Nowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus and overa adequacy of the legal vocabulary used by candidates. Fair: 21-23 Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, fair ability the argue and make connections between the various topics, use of appropriate legal vocabulary by the candidates. Good: 24-26 Good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, good capacity for in-depth analysis and criticism, through the use of an adequate legal vocabulary by the candidates. Distinguished: 27-28 More than good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, more than good capacity for in-depth study, for linking the various topics, for critique and mastery of legal vocabulary by the candidates. Excellent: 29-30 Very good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, very good ability to deepen, to link between the different topics, as well as criticis and mastery of the legal vocabulary by the candidates. Excellent: 30L Excellent: Nowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the sy		skills and autonomy of judgement in relation to the complexity of the phenomenon of European integration by means of timely consultation of texts and documents,
in thirtieths are: Insufficient: 0-17 Lack of, incomplete and inadequate knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, candidates' use of non-legal vocabulary. Sufficient: 18-20 Sufficient knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus and overa adequacy of the legal vocabulary used by candidates. Fair: 21-23 Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, fair ability the argue and make connections between the various topics, use of appropriate legal vocabulary by the candidates. Good: 24-26 Good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, good capacity for in-depth analysis and criticism, through the use of an adequate leg vocabulary by the candidates. Distinguished: 27-28 More than good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, more than good capacity for in-depth study, for linking the various topics, for critique and mastery of legal vocabulary by the candidates. Excellent: 29-30 Very good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, very good ability to deepen, to link between the different topics, as well as criticist and mastery of the legal vocabulary by the candidates. Excellent: 30L Excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent capacity for in-depth study, for linking the various topics, for critique and mastery of the legal vocabulary on the part of the candidates.		The way of testing the expected learning outcomes is the oral test, which consists of a minimum of three questions on the teaching programme. The grade is expressed in thirtieths. If the candidate does not demonstrate at least sufficient knowledge of the basic topics of the teaching programme, subsequent questions cannot be taken.
Lack of, incomplete and inadequate knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, candidates' use of non-legal vocabulary. Sufficient: 18-20 Sufficient knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus and overa adequacy of the legal vocabulary used by candidates. Fair: 21-23 Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, fair ability the argue and make connections between the various topics, use of appropriate legal vocabulary by the candidates. Good: 24-26 Good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, good capacity for in-depth analysis and criticism, through the use of an adequate leg vocabulary by the candidates. Distinguished: 27-28 More than good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, more than good capacity for in-depth study, for linking the various topics, for critique and mastery of legal vocabulary by the candidates. Excellent: 29-30 Very good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, very good ability to deepen, to link between the different topics, as well as criticist and mastery of the legal vocabulary by the candidates. Excellent: 30L Excellent: Nowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excelled capacity for in-depth study, for linking the various topics, for critique and mastery of the legal vocabulary on the part of the candidates.		The criteria followed for the assessment of learning outcomes expressed in thirtieths are:
Sufficient knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus and overa adequacy of the legal vocabulary used by candidates. Fair: 21-23 Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, fair ability the argue and make connections between the various topics, use of appropriate legal vocabulary by the candidates. Good: 24-26 Good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, good capacity for in-depth analysis and criticism, through the use of an adequate legal vocabulary by the candidates. Distinguished: 27-28 More than good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, more than good capacity for in-depth study, for linking the various topics, for critique and mastery of legal vocabulary by the candidates. Excellent: 29-30 Very good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, very good ability to deepen, to link between the different topics, as well as criticistical and mastery of the legal vocabulary by the candidates. Excellent: 30L Excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent capacity for in-depth study, for linking the various topics, for critique and mastery of the legal vocabulary on the part of the candidates.		Lack of, incomplete and inadequate knowledge of the topics contained in
Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, fair ability to argue and make connections between the various topics, use of appropriate legal vocabulary by the candidates. Good: 24-26 Good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, good capacity for in-depth analysis and criticism, through the use of an adequate leg vocabulary by the candidates. Distinguished: 27-28 More than good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, more than good capacity for in-depth study, for linking the various topics, for critique and mastery of legal vocabulary by the candidates. Excellent: 29-30 Very good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, very good ability to deepen, to link between the different topics, as well as criticists and mastery of the legal vocabulary by the candidates. Excellent: 30L Excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent capacity for in-depth study, for linking the various topics, for critique and mastery of the legal vocabulary on the part of the candidates.		Sufficient knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus and overall
Good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, good capacit for in-depth analysis and criticism, through the use of an adequate leg vocabulary by the candidates. Distinguished: 27-28 More than good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, more than good capacity for in-depth study, for linking the various topics, for critique and mastery of legal vocabulary by the candidates. Excellent: 29-30 Very good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, very good ability to deepen, to link between the different topics, as well as criticist and mastery of the legal vocabulary by the candidates. Excellent: 30L Excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excelled capacity for in-depth study, for linking the various topics, for critique and mastery of the legal vocabulary on the part of the candidates.		Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, fair ability to argue and make connections between the various topics, use of
More than good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, more than good capacity for in-depth study, for linking the various topics, for critique and mastery of legal vocabulary by the candidates. Excellent: 29-30 Very good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, very good ability to deepen, to link between the different topics, as well as criticist and mastery of the legal vocabulary by the candidates. Excellent: 30L Excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent capacity for in-depth study, for linking the various topics, for critique and mastery of the legal vocabulary on the part of the candidates.		Good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, good capacity for in-depth analysis and criticism, through the use of an adequate legal
Very good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, very good ability to deepen, to link between the different topics, as well as criticist and mastery of the legal vocabulary by the candidates. Excellent: 30L Excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent capacity for in-depth study, for linking the various topics, for critique and mastery of the legal vocabulary on the part of the candidates.		More than good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, more than good capacity for in-depth study, for linking the various topics, for
Excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excelled capacity for in-depth study, for linking the various topics, for critique and mastery of the legal vocabulary on the part of the candidates.		Very good knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, very good ability to deepen, to link between the different topics, as well as criticism
Further information .		Excellent knowledge of the topics contained in the syllabus, excellent capacity for in-depth study, for linking the various topics, for critique and
	Further information	